

LAW OFFICES

**BRODSKY & SMITH, LLC**9595 WILSHIRE BLVD., SUITE 900  
BEVERLY HILLS, CA 90212877.534.2590  
FAX 310.247.0160  
www.brodsky-smith.comNEW JERSEY OFFICE  
1040 KINGS HIGHWAY NORTH, STE 650  
CHERRY HILL, NJ 08034.  
856.795.7250NEW YORK OFFICE  
240 MINEOLA BOULEVARD  
MINEOLA, NY 11501  
516.741.4977PENNSYLVANIA OFFICE  
TWO BALA PLAZA, STE 510  
BALA CYNWYD, PA 19004  
610.667.6200

October 13, 2016

Louis Farrar Plant Manager Ameron Pole Products LLC 1020 B Street Fillmore, CA 93015	Ameron Pole Products, LLC c/o CT Corporation System Agent for Service of Process 818 West Seventh St., Suite 930 Los Angeles, CA 90017
Jim Swanson Maintenance Foreman Ameron Pole Products LLC 1020 B Street Fillmore, CA 93015	
Gina McCarthy, Administrator U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Mail Code: 1101A 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20460	Samuel Unger, Executive Officer Regional Water Quality Control Board Los Angeles Region 320 West Fourth Street, Suite 200 Los Angeles, CA 90013
Jared Blumenfeld, Regional Administrator U.S. EPA, Region 9 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105	Thomas Howard, Executive Director State Water Resources Control Board 1001 I Street Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Notice of Violation and Intent to File Suit under the Clean Water Act

To Whom It May Concern:

Brodsky & Smith, LLC ("Brodsky Smith") represents Matt Salnick ("Salnick") a citizen of the State of California. This letter is to give notice that Brodsky Smith, on Salnick's behalf, intends to file a civil action against Ameron Pole Products LLC a/k/a Ameron Pole Production a/k/a Ameron International Inc. ("Ameron") for violations of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1251 *et seq.* ("Clean Water Act" or "CWA") at Ameron's facility located at 1020 B Street, Fillmore, CA 93015 (the "Facility").

Salnick is a citizen of the State of California who is concerned with the environmental health of the Sespe Creek, and uses and enjoys the waters of the Sespe Creek, its inflows, and other areas of the overall Santa Clara River Watershed, of which Sespe Creek is a tributary. Salnick's use and enjoyment of these waters are negatively affected by the pollution caused by Ameron's operations. Additionally, Salnick acts in the interest of the general public to prevent pollution in these waterways, for the benefit of their ecosystems, and for the benefits of all individuals and communities who use these waterways for various recreational, educational, and spiritual purposes.

This letter addresses Ameron's unlawful discharge of pollutants from the Facility via indirect flow into the Sespe Creek. Specifically, investigation of the Facility has uncovered significant, ongoing, and

continuous violations of the CWA and the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES") General Permit No. CAS000001 [State Water Resources Control Board] Water Quality Orders No. 2014-0057-DWQ (the "Industrial Stormwater Permit") and 92-12-DWQ (as amended by Order No. 97-03-DWQ) (the "Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit").<sup>1</sup>

CWA section 505(b) requires that sixty (60) days prior to the initiation of a civil action under CWA section 505(a), a citizen must give notice of his or her intent to file suit. 33 U.S.C. § 1365(b). Notice must be given to the alleged violator, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), and the State in which the violations occur. As required by section 505(b), this Notice of Violation and Intent to File Suit provides notice to Ameron of the violations that have occurred and which continue to occur at the Facility. After the expiration of sixty (60) days from the date of this Notice of Violation and the Intent to File Suit, Salnick intends to file suit in federal court against Ameron under CWA section 505(a) for the violations described more fully below.

During the 60-day notice period, Salnick is willing to discuss effective remedies for the violations noticed in this letter. We suggest that Ameron contact Salnick's attorneys at Brodsky & Smith within the next twenty (20) days so that these discussions may be completed by the conclusion of the 60-day notice period. Please note that we do not intend to delay the filing of a complaint in federal court, and service of the complaint shortly thereafter, even if discussions are continuing when the notice period ends.

## **I. THE LOCATION OF THE ALLEGED VIOLATIONS**

### **A. The Facility**

Ameron's Facility is located at 1020 B Street, Fillmore, California. At the Facility, Ameron operates as a manufacturer of traditional and contemporary concrete poles. At the Facility, the following industrial activities occur: (i) pole manufacturing; (ii) pole curing; (iii) pole patching; (iv) pole storage and maintenance; (v) pole molding; (vi) painting; (vii) scrap and equipment storage; (viii) concrete manufacturing; (ix) storage of raw materials; (x) concrete slurry waste storage; (xi) used drum storage; (xii) waste shipping and receiving; and (xiii) fuel storage and operations. Other activities carried out in the regular course of business at the facility include storage of fuel and other oils, maintenance, equipment storage, and waste storage. Repair and maintenance activities carried out at the facility include, but are not limited to, electrical, plumbing, roofing, asphalt, concrete, and utilities repairs as well as janitorial duties. Possible pollutants from the Facility include total suspended solids ("TSS"), waste oils, lubricants, fuel, trash, debris, hazardous materials, chemical oxygen demand ("COD"), oil and grease, pH, Nitrate plus Nitrite Nitrogen, heavy metals, such as aluminum, iron, zinc, copper and other pollutants. Stormwater from the Facility discharges, indirectly, into the Sespe Creek.

### **B. The Affected Water**

The Sespe Creek and overall Santa Clara River Watershed are waters of the United States. The CWA requires that water bodies such as the Sespe Creek, and overall Santa Clara River Watershed meet water quality objectives that protect specific "beneficial uses." The beneficial uses of the Sespe Creek and overall Santa Clara River Watershed include commercial and sport fishing, estuarine habitat, fish migration, navigation, preservation of rare and endangered species, water contact and non-contact recreation, shellfish harvesting, fish spawning, and wildlife habitat. Contaminated stormwater from the Facility adversely affects the water quality of the Sespe Creek and overall Santa Clara River Watershed, and threatens the beneficial uses and ecosystem of these watersheds, which includes habitats for threatened and endangered species.

---

<sup>1</sup> On April 1, 2014, the State Water Resources Control Board adopted an updated NPDES General Permit for Discharges Associated with Industrial Activity, Water Quality Order No. 2014-57-DWQ, which has taken force or effect on its effective date of July 1, 2015. As of the effective date, Water Quality Order No. 2014-57-DWQ has superseded and rescinded the prior Industrial Stormwater Permit except for purposes of enforcement actions brought pursuant to the prior permit.

## II. THE FACILITY'S VIOLATIONS OF THE CLEAN WATER ACT

It is unlawful to discharge pollutants to waters of the United States, such as the Sespe Creek, without an NPDES permit or in violation of the terms and conditions of an NPDES permit. CWA § 301(a), 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a); *see also* CWA § 402(p), 33 U.S.C. § 1342(p) (requiring NPDES permit issuance for the discharge of stormwater associated with industrial activities). The Industrial Stormwater Permit authorizes certain discharges of stormwater, conditioned on compliance with its terms.

Ameron has submitted a Notice of Intent ("NOI") to be authorized to discharge stormwater from the Facility under the Industrial Stormwater Permit since at least 1992. However, information available to Salnick indicates that stormwater discharges from the Facility have violated several terms of the Industrial Stormwater Permit and the CWA. Apart from discharges that comply with the Industrial Stormwater Permit, the Facility lacks NPDES permit authorization for any other discharges of pollutants into waters of the United States.

### A. Discharges in Excess of BAT/BCT Levels

The Effluent Limitations of the Industrial Stormwater Permit prohibit the discharge of pollutants from the facility in concentrations above the level commensurate with the application of best available technology economically achievable ("BAT") for toxic pollutants<sup>2</sup> and best conventional pollutant control technology ("BCT") for conventional pollutants.<sup>3</sup> Industrial Stormwater Permit § I(D)(32), II(D)(2); Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit, Order Part B(3). The EPA has published Benchmark values set at the maximum pollutant concentration present if an industrial facility is employing BAT and BCT, as listed in Attachment 1 to this letter.<sup>4</sup>

Additionally, the Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit notes that effluent limitation guidelines for several named industrial categories have been established and codified by the Federal Government. *See* Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit pp. VIII. The Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit mandates that for facilities that fall within such industrial categories, compliance with the listed BAT and BCT for the specified pollutants listed therein must be met in order to be in compliance with the Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit. *Id.* Ameron falls within these named industrial categories and it must have complied with the effluent limitations found therein in order to have been in compliance with the Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit during its effective period. In addition, the Industrial Stormwater Permit requires dischargers to comply with Effluent Limitations "consistent with U.S. EPA's 2008 Multi Sector General Permit for Stormwater Discharges Associated with Industrial Activity (the "2008 MSGP")". *See* Industrial Stormwater Permit § I(D)(33). The 2008 MSGP has specific numeric effluent limitations based upon Standard Industrial Classification ("SIC") codes. Notably, Ameron, is classified as falling under SIC Code 3272, relating to Concrete Products, Except Block and Brick, requiring it to be within numerical effluent limitations for (i) Total Iron, and (ii) Total Suspended Solids ("TSS"). Based on Ameron's self-reporting data and/or lack thereof, Ameron has not met this requirement and was in violation of the Previous Stormwater Permit over a period of approximately five (5) years.

Ameron's self-reporting of industrial stormwater discharges show a pattern of exceedances of Benchmark values and/or failure to adequately numerical pollutant discharge values in every instance of

---

<sup>2</sup> BAT is defined at 40 C.F.R. § 437.1 *et seq.* Toxic pollutants are listed at 40 C.F.R. § 401.15 and include copper, lead, and zinc, among others.

<sup>3</sup> BCT is defined at 40 C.F.R. § 437.1 *et seq.* Conventional pollutants are listed at 40 C.F.R. § 401.16 and include BOD, TSS, oil and grease, pH, and fecal coliform.

<sup>4</sup> The Benchmark values are part of the EPA's Multi-Sector General Permit ("MSGP") and can be found at: [http://www.epa.gov/npdes/pubs/msgp2008\\_finalpermit.pdf](http://www.epa.gov/npdes/pubs/msgp2008_finalpermit.pdf). *See* 73 Fed. Reg. 56, 572 (Sept. 29, 2008) (Final National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) General Permit for Stormwater Discharges From Industrial Activities).

self-reporting. *See* Attachment 2. This pattern of exceedances of benchmark values and lack of self-reporting indicate that Ameron has failed and is failing to employ measures that constitute BAT and BCT in violation of the requirements of the Industrial Stormwater Permit and Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit. Salnick alleges and notifies Ameron that its stormwater discharges from the Facility have consistently contained and continue to contain levels of pollutants that exceed Benchmark Values for Iron and/or TSS in the Annual Reporting Periods of 2015-2016, 2014-2015, 2013-2014 and 2011-2012 and have failed to adequately report numeric pollutant discharge values for the 2012-2013 annual reporting period.

Ameron's ongoing discharges of stormwater containing levels of pollutants above EPA Benchmark values and BAT and BCT based levels of control also demonstrate that Ameron has not developed and implemented sufficient Best Management Practices ("BMPs") at the Facility. Proper BMPs could include, but are not limited to, moving certain pollution-generating activities under cover or indoors capturing and effectively filtering or otherwise treating all stormwater prior to discharge, frequent sweeping to reduce build-up of pollutants on-site, installing filters on downspouts and storm drains, and other similar measures.

Ameron's failure to develop and/or implement adequate pollution controls to meet BAT and BCT and the Facility violates and will continue to violate the CWA and the Industrial Stormwater Permit each and every day Ameron's discharges stormwater without meeting BAT/BCT. Salnick alleges that Ameron has discharged stormwater containing excessive levels of pollutants from the Facility to the Sespe Creek during at least every significant local rain event over 0.2 inches in the last five (5) years.<sup>5</sup> Attachment 3 compiles all dates in the last five (5) years when a significant rain event occurred. Ameron is subject to civil penalties for each violation of the Industrial Stormwater Permit and the CWA within the past five (5) years.

#### **B. Discharges Impairing Receiving Waters**

The Industrial Stormwater Permit's Discharge Prohibitions disallow stormwater discharges that cause or threaten to cause pollution, contamination, or nuisance. *See* Industrial Stormwater Permit § III; Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit, Order Part A(2). The Industrial Stormwater Permit also prohibits stormwater discharges to surface or groundwater that adversely impact human health or the environment. *See* Industrial Stormwater Permit § VI(b)-(c); Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit, Order Part C(1). Receiving Water Limitations of the Industrial Stormwater Permit prohibit stormwater discharges that cause or contribute to an exceedance of applicable Water Quality Standards ("WQS") contained in a Statewide Water Quality Control Plan or the applicable Regional Water Board's Basin Plan. *See* Industrial Stormwater Permit § VI(a); Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit at Order Part C(2). Applicable WQS are set forth in the California Toxic Rule ("CTR")<sup>6</sup> and Chapter 3 of the Los Angeles Region (Region 4) Water Quality Control Plan (the "Basin Plan").<sup>7</sup> *See* Attachment 1. Exceedances of WQS are violations of the Industrial Stormwater Permit, the CTR, and the Basin Plan.

The Basin Plan establishes WQS for all Inland Surface and Coastal waters of Los Angeles and Ventura Counties, including but not limited to the following:

- Waters shall not contain suspended or settleable material in concentrations that cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial users.

---

<sup>5</sup> Significant local rain events are reflected in the rain gauge data available at: <http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/cdo-web/search>.

<sup>6</sup> The CTR is set forth at 40 C.F.R. § 131.38 and is explained in the Federal Register preamble accompanying the CTR promulgation set forth at 65 Fed. Reg. 31, 682 (May 18, 2000).

<sup>7</sup> The Basin Plan is published by the Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board at: [http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/losangeles/water\\_issues/programs/basin\\_plan/basin\\_plan\\_documentation.shtml](http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/losangeles/water_issues/programs/basin_plan/basin_plan_documentation.shtml).



- Waters shall be free of changes in turbidity that cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses. Increases in natural turbidity attributable to controllable water quality factors shall not exceed 20% where natural turbidity is between 0 and 50 nephelometric turbidity units ("NTU"), and shall not exceed 10% where the natural turbidity is greater than 50 NTU.
- All waters shall be maintained free of toxic substances in concentrations that are toxic to, or that produce detrimental physiological responses in, human, plant, animal, or aquatic life.
- Surface waters shall not contain concentrations of chemical constituents in amounts that adversely affect any designated beneficial use.

Salnick alleges that Ameron's stormwater discharges have caused or contributed to exceedances of Receiving Water Limitations in the Industrial Stormwater Permit and the WQS set forth in the Basin Plan and CTR. These allegations are based on Ameron's self-reported data submitted to the Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board. These sampling results indicate that Ameron's discharges are causing or threatening to cause pollution, contamination, and/or nuisance; adversely impacting human health or the environment; and violating applicable WQS. *See Attachment 2.*

Salnick alleges that each day that Ameron has discharged stormwater from the Facility, Ameron's stormwater has and/or may have contained levels of pollutants that exceeded one or more of the Receiving Water Limitations and/or applicable WQS in the Sespe Creek. Salnick alleges that Ameron has discharged stormwater exceeding Receiving Water Limitations and/or WQS from the Facility to the Sespe Creek during at least every significant local rain event over 0.2 inches in the last five (5) years. *See Attachment 3.* Each discharge from the Facility that violates a Receiving Water Limitation or has caused or contributed, or caused or contributes, to an exceedance of an applicable WQS constitutes a separate violation of the Industrial Stormwater Permit and the CWA Ameron is subject to penalties for each violation of the Industrial Stormwater Permit and the CWA within the past five (5) years.

#### **C. Failure to Develop and Implement an Adequate Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan**

The Industrial Stormwater Permit requires dischargers to develop and implement an adequate Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan ("SWPPP"). *See Industrial Stormwater Permit, § X(B); Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit § A(1)(a).* The Industrial Stormwater Permit also requires dischargers to make all necessary revisions to existing SWPPPs promptly. *See Industrial Stormwater Permit, § X(B); Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit at Order Part E(2).*

The SWPPP must include, among other requirements, the following: a site map, a list of significant materials handled and stored at the site, a description and assessment of all Ameron pollutant sources, a description of the BMPs that will reduce or prevent pollutants in stormwater discharges, specification of BMPs designed to reduce pollutant discharge to BAT and BCT levels, a comprehensive site compliance evaluation completed each reporting year, and revisions to the SWPPP within 90 days after a facility manager determines that the SWPPP is in violation of any requirements of the Industrial Stormwater Permit. *See Industrial Stormwater Permit, § X(A); Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit Section § A.*

Based on information available to Salnick, Ameron has failed to prepare and/or implement an adequate SWPPP and/or failed to revise the SWPPP to satisfy each of the requirements of § X(A) of the Industrial Stormwater Permit and/or § A Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit. For Example, Ameron SWPPP does not include and/or Ameron has not implemented adequate BMPs designed to reduce pollutant levels in discharges to BAT and BCT levels in accordance with Section A(8) of the Industrial Stormwater Permit, as evidenced by the data in Attachment 2.

Accordingly, Ameron has violated the CWA each and every day that it has failed to develop and/or implement an adequate SWPPP meeting all of the requirements of § X(A) of the Industrial Stormwater Permit and/or § A Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit, and Ameron will continue to be in

violation every day until it develops and implements an adequate SWPPP. Ameron is subject to penalties for each violation of the Industrial Stormwater Permit and the CWA occurring within the past five (5) years.

**D. Failure to Develop and Implement an Adequate Monitoring and Reporting Program and to Perform Annual Comprehensive Site Compliance Evaluations**

The Industrial Stormwater Permit requires facility operators to develop and implement a Monitoring and Reporting Program ("MRP"). See Industrial Stormwater Permit, § XI; Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit § B(1) and Order Part E(3). The Industrial Stormwater Permit requires that MRP ensure that each the facility's stormwater discharges comply with the Discharge Prohibitions, Effluent Limitations, and Receiving Water Limitations specified in the Industrial Stormwater Permit. *Id.* Facility operators must ensure that their MRP practices reduce or prevent pollutants in stormwater and authorized non-stormwater discharges as well as evaluate and revise their practices to meet changing conditions at the facility. *Id.* This may include revising the SWPPP as required by § X(A) of the Industrial Stormwater Permit and/or § A Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit.

The MRP must measure the effectiveness of BMPs used to prevent or reduce pollutants in stormwater and authorized non-stormwater discharges, and facility operators must revise the MRP whenever appropriate. See Industrial Stormwater Permit, § XI; Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit § at Section B. The Industrial Stormwater Permit requires facility operators to visually observe and collect samples of stormwater discharges from all drainage areas. *Id.* Facility operators are also required to provide an explanation of monitoring methods describing how the facility's monitoring program will satisfy these objectives. *Id.*

Ameron has been operating the Facility with an inadequately developed and/or inadequately implemented MRP, in violation of the substantive and procedural requirements set forth in Section B of the Industrial Stormwater permit. For example, the data in Attachment 2 indicates that Ameron's monitoring program has not ensured that stormwater dischargers are in compliance with the Discharge Prohibitions, Effluent Limitations, and Receiving Water Limitations of the Industrial Stormwater Permit as required by the Industrial Stormwater Permit, § XI and/or the Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit § B. The monitoring has not resulted in practices at the Facility that adequately reduce or prevent pollutants in stormwater as required by Industrial Stormwater Permit, § XI and/or the Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit § B. Additionally, the Industrial Stormwater Permit requires dischargers to comply with Effluent Limitations "consistent with U.S. EPA's 2008 Multi Sector General Permit for Stormwater Discharges Associated with Industrial Activity (the "2008 MSGP")". The 2008 MSGP has specific numeric effluent limitations based upon Stand Industrial Classification ("SIC") codes. Notably, Ameron, is classified as falling under SIC Code 3272, relating to Concrete Products, Except Block and Brick, requiring it to be within numerical effluent limitations for (i) Total Iron, and (ii) Total Suspended Solids ("TSS"). As previously stated, and in clear violation of the terms of the Industrial Stormwater Permit, Ameron has reported exceedances or failed to report testing results for any applicable effluent limitation in any of their annual reports for the past five (5) annual reporting periods. See Attachments 2, 3. Therefore, the data in Attachment 2 indicates that Ameron's monitoring program has not effectively identified or responded to compliance problems at the Facility or resulted in effective revision of the BMPs in use or the Facility's SWPPP to address such ongoing problems as required by Industrial Stormwater Permit, § XI and/or the Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit § B.

As a part of the MRP, the Industrial Stormwater Permit specifies that Facility operators shall collect a total of four (4) stormwater samples throughout an annual reporting period. Specifically the Industrial Stormwater Permit requires, "The discharger to collect and analyze samples from two (2) Qualifying Storm Events ("QSE's) within the first half of each reporting year (July 1 to December 31), and two (2) QSEs within the second half of each reporting year (January 1 to June 30)." Industrial Stormwater

Permit § XI B(2).<sup>8</sup> Furthermore, should facility operators fail to collect samples from the first storm event of the wet season, they are still required to collect samples from two other storm events during the wet season, and explain in the annual report why the first storm event was not sampled. *Id.* Despite this requirement Ameron has submitted the annual report for the 2012-2013 reporting period with no testing data whatsoever, and submitted the annual report for the 2015-2016 reporting period with testing data from only one (1) QSE. Additionally, Ameron has failed to adequately explain why such sampling was not included.

The Industrial Stormwater Permit also requires dischargers to include laboratory reports with their Annual Reports submitted to the Regional Board. *See* Industrial Stormwater Permit, Fact Sheet § O and/or Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit § B(14). Notably, Ameron has not submitted any laboratory reports with testing data for the 2012-2013 annual reporting period, or any laboratory reports with testing data for a second, third, or fourth QSE in the 2015-2016 year as required by the Industrial Stormwater Permit. Additionally, Ameron has failed to adequately explain why such sampling was not included.

As a result of Ameron's failure to adequately develop and/or implement an adequate MRP at the Facility, Ameron has been in daily and continuous violation of the Industrial Stormwater Permit and the CWA each and every day for the past five (5) years. These violations are ongoing. Ameron will continue to be in violation of the monitoring and reporting requirement each day that Ameron fails to adequately develop and/or implement an effective MRP at the Facility. Ameron is subject to penalties for each violation of the Industrial Stormwater Permit and the CWA occurring for the last five (5) years.

#### **E. Unpermitted Discharges**

Section 301(a) of the CWA prohibits the discharge of any pollutant into waters of the United States unless the discharge is authorized by a NPDES Permit issued pursuant to Section 402 of the CWA. *See* 33 U.S.C. §§ 1311(a), 1342. Ameron sought coverage for the Facility under the Industrial Stormwater Permit, which states that any discharge from an industrial facility not in compliance with the Industrial Stormwater Permit "must be either eliminated or permitted by a separate NPDES permit." Industrial Stormwater Permit, § III; Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit, Order Part A(1). Because Ameron has not obtained coverage under a separate NPDES permit and has failed to eliminate discharges not permitted by the Industrial Stormwater Permit, each and every discharge from the Facility described herein not in compliance with the Industrial Stormwater Permit has constituted and will continue to constitute a discharge without CWA Permit coverage in violation of section 301(a) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a)

#### **IV. PERSON RESPONSIBLE FOR THE VIOLATIONS**

Ameron Pole Products LLC a/k/a Ameron Pole Production a/k/a Ameron International Inc. is the person responsible of the violations at the Facility described above.

#### **V. NAME AND ADDRESS OF NOTICING PARTY**

Matt Salnick  
Personal Privacy  
Camarillo, CA 93012  
Personal

#### **VI. COUNSEL**

Evan J. Smith, Esquire  
[esmith@brodsky-smith.com](mailto:esmith@brodsky-smith.com)  
Ryan P. Cardona, Esquire

---

<sup>8</sup> Under the Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit, only two samplings per year was required, specifically, from "the first hour of discharge from (1) the first storm event of the wet season, and (2) at least one other storm event in the wet season." *See* Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit § B(5)(a).

[rcardona@brodsky-smith.com](mailto:rcardona@brodsky-smith.com)  
Brodsky & Smith, LLC  
9595 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 900  
Beverly Hills, CA 90212  
T: (877) 534-2590  
F: (310) 247-0160

## VII. REMEDIES

Salnick intends, at the close of the 60-day notice period or thereafter, to file a citizen suit under CWA section 505(a) against Ameron for the above-referenced violations. Salnick will seek declaratory and injunctive relief to prevent further CWA violations pursuant to CWA sections 505(a) and (d), 33 U.S.C. § 1365(a) and (d), and such other relief as permitted by law. In addition, Salnick will seek civil penalties pursuant to CWA section 309(d), 33 U.S.C. § 1319(d), and 40 C.F.R. § 19.4, against Ameron in this action. The CWA imposes civil penalty liability of up to \$37,500 per day per violation for violations occurring after January 12, 2009. 33 U.S.C. § 1319(d); 40 C.F.R. § 19.4. Salnick will seek to recover attorneys' fees, experts' fees, and costs in accordance with CWA section 505(d), 33 U.S.C. § 1365(d).

As noted above, Salnick and his Counsel are willing to meet with you during the 60-day notice period to discuss effective remedies for the violations noted in this letter. Please contact me to initiate these discussions.

Sincerely,



Evan J. Smith, Esquire  
[esmith@brodsky-smith.com](mailto:esmith@brodsky-smith.com)  
Ryan P. Cardona, Esq.  
[rcardona@brodsky-smith.com](mailto:rcardona@brodsky-smith.com)  
Brodsky & Smith, LLC  
9595 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 900  
Beverly Hills, CA  
T: (877) 534-2590  
F: (310) 247-0160



**ATTACHMENT 1: EPA BENCHMARKS AND WATER QUALITY STANDARDS FOR  
DISCHARGES TO FRESHWATER**

**A. EPA Benchmarks, 2008 Multi-Sector General Permit ("MSGP")**

<b>Parameter</b>	<b>Units</b>	<b>Benchmark Value</b>	<b>Source</b>
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	Mg/L	100	2008 MSGP
Total Iron	Mg/L	1.0	2008 MSGP

**B. Water Quality Standards – Discharge Limitations and Monitoring Requirements  
(40 CFR Part 131.38 (California Toxics Rule or CTR), May 18, 2000)**

<b>Parameter</b>	<b>Units</b>	<b>Water Quality Objectives</b>		<b>Source</b>
		<b>4- Day Average</b>	<b>1-Hr Average</b>	
Lead	Mg/L	0.0081	0.21	<b>40 CFR Part 131.38</b>
Zinc	Mg/L	0.081	0.090	<b>40 CFR Part 131.38</b>

## ATTACHMENT 2: TABLE OF EXCEEDENCES FOR AMERON

The following table contains each stormwater sampling result which exceeds EPA Benchmarks and/or causes or contributes to an exceedance of CFR and/or Basin Plan Water Quality Standards. All EPA Benchmarks and CFR and/or Basin Plan Water Quality Standards are listed in Attachment 1. All stormwater samples were reported by the Facility during the past five (5) years.

[illegible]

\* Ameron has failed to submit testing results for any required effluent limitations contained in the submitted 2012-2013 annual report.

\* Ameron has failed to submit Laboratory Reports for 2012-2013 reporting period.

\* Ameron's submitted 2015-2016 Annual Report contains test results of required effluent limitations for only one (1) QSE rather than the required four (4) QSEs or two (2) QSEs under the Industrial Stormwater Permit and/or Previous Industrial Stormwater Permit, as applicable.

**January 1, 2011 – October 1, 2016**[illegible]